Anisotropic Hydrodynamics Theory and Phenomenology

Michael Strickland Kent State University

Peking University – Institute of Theoretical Physics November 9, 2017





ANTICANCER BLOCKBUSTER? RISE AND FALL OF THE SLIDE RULE

SCIENTIFIC **AMERICAN** MAY 2006

Bringing **DNA** Computers to Life

2006

WWW SCIAM COM

Quark Soup PHYSICISTS RE-CREATE THE LIQUID STUFF OF THE EARLIEST UNIVERSE

Stopping Alzheimer's

Birth of the Amazon

Future **Giant Telescope**

- At the Relativistic Heavy Ion Collider (RHIC) @ **Brookhaven National Lab** (BNL) scientists concluded that the quark-gluon plasma (QGP) behaves like a "nearly perfect fluid"
- **Experiments** continue to this day at RHIC and started in 2010 at even higher energies at the Large Hadron Collider (LHC) @ CERN.

QGP thermodynamics

M. Strickland

Protons, Neutrons, Pions, etc.

$T \gtrsim 10^{12}$ Kelvin

Quark Gluon Plasma (QGP)





QCD phase diagram



Pressure vs temperature – $\mu_B = 0$ MeV



High-energy ultrarelativistic heavy-ion collisions

- **RHIC**, BNL Au-Au @ 200 GeV/nucleon (highest energy) \rightarrow T₀ ~ 400 MeV
- LHC, CERN Pb-Pb @ 2.76 TeV \rightarrow T₀ ~ 600 MeV
- LHC, CERN Pb-Pb @ 5.02 TeV \rightarrow T₀ ~ 700 MeV
- **RHIC**, BNL **BES** Au-Au @ 7.7 39 GeV \rightarrow T₀ ~ 30-100 MeV [+finite density]
- **FAIR** (GSI), **NICA** (Dubna) U-U @ 35 GeV -> T₀ ~ 100 MeV [+finite density]



High-energy ultrarelativistic heavy-ion collisions

- **RHIC**, BNL Au-Au @ 200 GeV/nucleon (highest energy) \rightarrow T₀ ~ 400 MeV
- LHC, CERN Pb-Pb @ 2.76 TeV \rightarrow T₀ ~ 600 MeV
- LHC, CERN Pb-Pb @ 5.02 TeV \rightarrow T₀ ~ 700 MeV
- **RHIC**, BNL **BES** Au-Au @ 7.7 39 GeV \rightarrow T₀ ~ 30-100 MeV [+finite density]
- FAIR (GSI), NICA (Dubna) U-U @ 35 GeV -> T₀ ~ 100 MeV [+finite density]



Pressure vs temperature – μ_B = 0 MeV



QGP dynamics

RHIC heavy-ion collision timescales



How can we understand QGP "fluidity"?

- The statement that the QGP behaves like a nearly perfect fluid comes from the success of "hydrodynamical models" in describing experimental observables.
- One way to view this is that hydrodynamics is a kind of universal effective theory that describes the long wavelength dynamics of any system.
- The catch, however, is that traditional hydrodynamics equations are derived in the context of a **near-equilibrium** system.
- Today, I would like to present a different view: That hydrodynamics emerges as an efficient approximation to the full kinetic theory of the QGP which can be applied **far from equilibrium**.
- The goal of the **anisotropic hydrodynamics (aHydro)** program is to provide an optimized framework that is **more accurate out of equilibrium** and optimized for heavy-ion collisions.



Need to be careful how we define fluid-like behavior!



Non-relativistic variables

- ρ = Local mass density
- e = Local (internal) energy density
- **v** = Local fluid velocity (related to avg. particle velocity in local cell)
- p = Local pressure \leftarrow equation of state, p(ρ)

Relativistic variables

 \mathscr{E} = Local energy density (now includes mass)

- u^{μ} = Local fluid four-velocity
- \mathcal{P} = Local pressure \leftarrow equation of state, $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{E})$

The "ideal" energy-momentum tensor

The energy-momentum tensor describes the density and flux of energy and momentum in space time. It generalizes the stress tensor of Newtonian physics. For a system that is in isotropic equilibrium, one has



Ideal hydrodynamics – Equations of motion

$$T^{\mu\nu} = T^{\mu\nu}_{\text{ideal}} = (\mathcal{E} + \mathcal{P})u^{\mu}u^{\nu} - \mathcal{P}g^{\mu\nu}$$

- In ideal hydrodynamics, one <u>assumes</u> that the energymomentum tensor is always in its ideal form.
- In this case, the equations of motion results from the requirement of energy-momentum conservation



Viscous hydrodynamics

$$T^{\mu\nu} = T^{\mu\nu}_{\text{ideal}} + \Pi^{\mu\nu}$$

- Viscous stress tensor encodes corrections to ideal hydrodynamics.
- Non-equilibrium corrections can make the pressures (defined via T^{xx}, T^{yy}, and T^{zz}) anisotropic, i.e P_x != P_y != P_z.



Relativistic Navier-Stokes theory is sick: Violates causality!!! To fix this problem, one must go to second order in gradients \rightarrow second-order viscous hydrodynamics

M. Strickland

Connection to kinetic theory

For small departures from equilibrium, we can linearize

$$f(x,p) = f_{eq}\left(\frac{p^{\mu}u_{\mu}}{T}\right)\left(1 + \delta f(x,p)\right)$$

$$T^{\mu\nu}(x) = \int dP \, p^{\mu} p^{\nu} f(x,p)$$

$$\begin{aligned} T^{\mu\nu} &= T^{\mu\nu}_{\text{ideal}} + \int dP \ p^{\mu}p^{\nu}f_{\text{eq}}\,\delta f \\ &= T^{\mu\nu}_{\text{ideal}} + \Pi^{\mu\nu} \end{aligned} \longrightarrow \boxed{\Pi^{\mu\nu} = \int dP \ p^{\mu}p^{\nu}f_{\text{eq}}\,\delta f}$$

In standard viscous hydro, one expands δf in a **gradient expansion**: nth order in gradients \rightarrow "nth-order viscous hydrodynamics"

- 1st order Hydro : Relativistic Navier-Stokes (parabolic diff eqs → acausal)
 [e.g. Eckart and Landau-Lifshitz]
- **2nd order Hydro** : Including quadratic gradients **fixes causality problem**; hyperbolic diff eqs [e.g. Israel-Stewart, Chapman-Enskog, DNMR, etc.]

• ...

What are the largest viscous corrections?



QGP momentum anisotropy cartoon



Physics 101



Cows are spheres?



Cows are spheres?



Cows are <u>not</u> spheres!



Cows are more like ellipsoids!



Spheroidal expansion method



Generalized aHydro formalism

In generalized aHydro, one assumes that the distribution function is of the form

$$f(x,p) = f_{eq}\left(\frac{\sqrt{p^{\mu}\Xi_{\mu\nu}(x)p^{\nu}}}{\lambda(x)}, \frac{\mu(x)}{\lambda(x)}\right) + \delta \tilde{f}(x,p)$$



$$u^{\mu}u_{\mu} = 1$$

$$\xi^{\mu}{}_{\mu} = 0$$

$$\Delta^{\mu}{}_{\mu} = 3$$

$$u_{\mu}\xi^{\mu\nu} = u_{\mu}\Delta^{\mu\nu} = 0$$

- 3 degrees of freedom in u^μ
- 5 degrees of freedom in $\xi^{\mu\nu}$
- 1 degree of freedom in Φ
- 1 degree of freedom in λ
- 1 degree of freedom in μ \rightarrow 11 DOFs

See e.g.

- M. Martinez, R. Ryblewski, and MS, 1204.1473
- L. Tinti and W. Florkowski, 1312.6614
- M. Nopoush, R. Ryblewski, and MS, 1405.1355

Equations of motion

 The EOM are obtained from moments of the Boltzmann equation including a temperature-dependent quasiparticle mass which is fit to reproduce the lattice equation of state. Today, we work at zero net baryon density (μ=0).

$$p^{\mu}\partial_{\mu}f + \frac{1}{2}\partial_{i}m^{2}\partial^{i}_{(p)}f = -\mathcal{C}[f]$$

- 4 equations from the 1st moment [energy-momentum conservation]
- 6 equations from the **2nd moment** [dissipative dynamics]
- Automatically includes effects of shear and bulk viscosity plus an infinite number of higher order transport coefficients!

$$\partial_{\mu} T^{\mu\nu} = 0$$

$$\partial_{\mu} \mathcal{I}^{\mu\nu\lambda} = \frac{1}{\tau_{\rm eq}} (u_{\mu} \mathcal{I}^{\mu\nu\lambda}_{\rm eq} - u_{\mu} \mathcal{I}^{\mu\nu\lambda})$$

$$\mathcal{I}^{\mu\nu\lambda} \equiv \int dP \, p^{\mu} p^{\nu} p^{\lambda} f(x,p) \, .$$

Is it really better?

aHydro <u>reproduces exact solutions</u> to the Boltzmann equation in a variety of expanding backgrounds <u>better than standard viscous hydrodynamics</u>.



Example: Conformal 0+1d aHydro results



- aHydro results (lines) on the left are from the recent paper of Molnar, Rischke, and Niemi [1606.09019]
- Exact solution is shown by dots [W. Florkowski, R. Ryblewski, and MS, 1304.0665 and 1305.7234]



M. Strickland

3+1d aHydro Equations of Motion

- Assuming an ellipsoidal form for the anisotropy tensor (ignoring offdiagonal components for now), one has seven degrees of freedom ξ_x, ξ_y, ξ_z, u_x, u_y, u_z, and λ which are all fields of space and time.
- Ignore $\delta \tilde{f}$ for now

$$D_{u}\mathcal{E} + \mathcal{E}\theta_{u} + \mathcal{P}_{x}u_{\mu}D_{x}X^{\mu} + \mathcal{P}_{y}u_{\mu}D_{y}Y^{\mu} + \mathcal{P}_{z}u_{\mu}D_{z}Z^{\mu} = 0,$$

$$D_{x}\mathcal{P}_{x} + \mathcal{P}_{x}\theta_{x} - \mathcal{E}X_{\mu}D_{u}u^{\mu} - \mathcal{P}_{y}X_{\mu}D_{y}Y^{\mu} - \mathcal{P}_{z}X_{\mu}D_{z}Z^{\mu} = 0,$$

$$D_{y}\mathcal{P}_{y} + \mathcal{P}_{y}\theta_{y} - \mathcal{E}Y_{\mu}D_{u}u^{\mu} - \mathcal{P}_{x}Y_{\mu}D_{x}X^{\mu} - \mathcal{P}_{z}Y_{\mu}D_{z}Z^{\mu} = 0,$$

$$D_{z}\mathcal{P}_{z} + \mathcal{P}_{z}\theta_{z} - \mathcal{E}Z_{\mu}D_{u}u^{\mu} - \mathcal{P}_{x}Z_{\mu}D_{x}X^{\mu} - \mathcal{P}_{y}Z_{\mu}D_{y}Y^{\mu} = 0.$$

First Moment

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{I}^{\mu\nu\lambda} \equiv \int dP \, p^{\mu} p^{\nu} p^{\lambda} f(x, p) \, . \\ \mathcal{I}_{i} = \alpha \, \alpha_{i}^{2} \, \mathcal{I}_{eq}(\lambda, m) \, , \\ \mathcal{I}_{eq}(\lambda, m) = 4\pi \tilde{N} \lambda^{5} \hat{m}^{3} K_{3}(\hat{m}) \, , \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} D_{u} \mathcal{I}_{x} + \mathcal{I}_{x}(\theta_{u} + 2u_{\mu}D_{x}X^{\mu}) = \frac{1}{\tau_{eq}}(\mathcal{I}_{eq} - \mathcal{I}_{x}) \, , \\ D_{u} \mathcal{I}_{y} + \mathcal{I}_{y}(\theta_{u} + 2u_{\mu}D_{y}Y^{\mu}) = \frac{1}{\tau_{eq}}(\mathcal{I}_{eq} - \mathcal{I}_{y}) \, , \end{bmatrix}$$
Second

Second Moment

M. Alqahtani, M. Nopoush, and MS, 1509.02913; 1605.02101 M. Alqahtani, M. Nopoush, R. Ryblewski, and MS, 1703.05808; 1705.10191

1.0

M. Alqahtani, M. Nopoush, and MS, 1509.02913; 1605.02101 M. Alqahtani, M. Nopoush, R. Ryblewski, and MS, 1703.05808; 1705.10191

Quasiparticle Method



M. Alqahtani, M. Nopoush, and MS, 1509.02913; 1605.02101 M. Alqahtani, M. Nopoush, R. Ryblewski, and MS, 1703.05808; 1705.10191

Quasiparticle Method

$$T^{\mu\nu} = T^{\mu\nu}_{\text{kinetic}} + Bg^{\mu\nu}$$
$$p^{\mu}\partial_{\mu}f + \frac{1}{2}\partial_{i}m^{2}\partial^{i}_{(p)}f = -\mathcal{C}[f]$$
$$\partial_{\mu}B = -\frac{1}{2}\partial_{\mu}m^{2}\int dPf(x,p)$$

Shear viscosity

Fix relaxation time as a function of the energy density by requiring fixed shear viscosity to entry density ratio.

$$\frac{\eta}{\tau_{\rm eq}} = \frac{1}{T} I_{3,2}(\hat{m}_{\rm eq})$$

Bulk viscosity quasiparticle ----- 15*ŋ*/s (1/3–*c*_s²)² 0.08 $\frac{\zeta}{\tau_{\text{eq}}} = \frac{5}{3T}I_{3,2} - c_s^2(\mathcal{E} + \mathcal{P}) + T\hat{m}^3 \frac{dm}{dT}I_{1,1}$ 0.06 Ĕ ζ/S 0.04 $I_{3,2}(x) = \frac{N_{\text{dof}}T^5 x^5}{30\pi^2} \left[\frac{1}{16} \Big(K_5(x) - 7K_3(x) + 22K_1(x) \Big) - K_{i,1}(x) \right],$ 0.05 0.10 0.50 1 0.02 $K_{i,1}(x) = \frac{\pi}{2} \left[1 - x K_0(x) \mathcal{S}_{-1}(x) - x K_1(x) \mathcal{S}_0(x) \right],$ T [GeV] $I_{1,1} = \frac{g m^3}{6\pi^2} \left[\frac{1}{4} (K_3 - 5K_1) + K_{i,1} \right]$ 0.00 0.2 0.3 0.5 0.1 0.4 0.6 T [GeV]

M. Strickland

M. Alqahtani, M. Nopoush, and MS, 1509.02913; 1605.02101 M. Alqahtani, M. Nopoush, R. Ryblewski, and MS, 1703.05808; 1705.10191

Quasiparticle Method

$$T^{\mu\nu} = T^{\mu\nu}_{\text{kinetic}} + Bg^{\mu\nu}$$
$$p^{\mu}\partial_{\mu}f + \frac{1}{2}\partial_{i}m^{2}\partial^{i}_{(p)}f = -\mathcal{C}[f]$$
$$\partial_{\mu}B = -\frac{1}{2}\partial_{\mu}m^{2}\int dPf(x,p)$$

Shear viscosity

Fix relaxation time as a function of the energy density by requiring fixed shear viscosity to entry density ratio.

$$\frac{\eta}{\tau_{\rm eq}} = \frac{1}{T} I_{3,2}(\hat{m}_{\rm eq})$$

36



M. Alqahtani, M. Nopoush, and MS, 1509.02913; 1605.02101 M. Alqahtani, M. Nopoush, R. Ryblewski, and MS, 1703.05808; 1705.10191



Anisotropic Cooper-Frye Freezeout

M. Alqahtani, M. Nopoush, and MS, 1605.02101 M. Alqahtani, M. Nopoush, R. Ryblewski, and MS, 1703.05808; 1705.10191

- Use same generalized-RS form for "anisotropic freeze-out" at LO
- Form includes both shear and bulk corrections to the distribution function
- Use energy density (scalar) to determine the freeze-out hyper-surface $\Sigma \rightarrow e.g. T_{eff,FO} = 130 \text{ MeV}$

$$f(x,p) = f_{\rm iso}\left(\frac{1}{\lambda}\sqrt{p_{\mu}\Xi^{\mu\nu}p_{\nu}}\right)$$

$$\Xi^{\mu\nu} = \frac{u^{\mu}u^{\nu}}{_{\text{isotropic}}} + \frac{\xi^{\mu\nu}}{_{\text{anisotropy}}} - \frac{\Phi\Delta^{\mu\nu}}{_{\text{bulk}}}$$

$$\xi^{\mu\nu}_{\text{LRF}} \equiv \text{diag}(0, \xi_x, \xi_y, \xi_z)$$
$$\xi^{\mu}_{\ \mu} = 0 \qquad u_{\mu} \xi^{\mu}_{\ \nu} = 0$$

$$\left(p^0 \frac{dN}{dp^3}\right)_i = \frac{\mathcal{N}_i}{(2\pi)^3} \int f_i(x,p) \, p^\mu d\Sigma_\mu \,,$$

NOTE: Usual 2nd-order viscous hydro form

$$f(p,x) = f_{\rm eq} \left[1 + (1 - af_{\rm eq}) \frac{p_{\mu} p_{\nu} \Pi^{\mu\nu}}{2(\epsilon + P)T^2} \right]$$

 $f_{\rm eq} = 1/[\exp(p \cdot u/T) + a]$ a = -1, +1, or 0

- This form suffers from the problem that the distribution function can be negative in some regions of phase space → <u>unphysical</u>
- Problem becomes worse when including the bulk viscous correction.

The phenomenological setup

- Keep it simple at first \rightarrow smooth Glauber initial conditions
- Mixture of wounded nucleon and binary collision profiles with a binary mixing fraction of 0.15 (empirically suggested from prior viscous hydro studies)
- In the rapidity direction, we use a rapidity profile with a "tilted" central plateau and Gaussian "wings"
- We take the system to be initially isotropic in momentum space
- We then run the code and extract the freeze-out hypersurface
- The primordial particle production is then Monte-Carlo sampled using the Therminator 2 [Chojnacki, Kisiel, Florkowski, and Broniowski, arXiv:1102.0273]
- Therminator also takes care of all resonance feed downs
- All data shown are from the ALICE collaboration

Identified particle spectra



M. Strickland

Data are from the ALICE collaboration data for Pb-Pb collisions @ 2.76 TeV/nucleon

Charged particle multiplicity



Elliptic flow



Geometry of overlap region creates anisotropic pressure gradients which result in "anisotropic flow" of plasma constituents.

Elliptic flow

- Quite good description of identified particle elliptic flow as well
- Central collisions → need to include fluctuating init. Conditions!



Alqahtani, Nopoush, Ryblewski, MS, 1705.10191



HBT Radi



HBT Radii Ratios

Conclusions and Outlook

- Anisotropic hydrodynamics builds upon prior advances in relativistic hydrodynamics in an attempt to create an even more quantitatively reliable model of QGP evolution.
- It incorporates some "facts of life" specific to the conditions generated in relativistic heavy ion collisions and, in doing so, optimizes the dissipative hydrodynamics approach for HIC.
- We now have a running 3+1d "ellipsoidal" aHydro code with realistic EoS, anisotropic freeze-out, and fluctuating initial conditions.
- Our preliminary fits to experimental data using smooth Glauber initial conditions look quite nice.
- Future: off-diagonal anisotropies, turn on the fluctuating initial conditions, lower-energies/finite $\mu_{\rm B}$, small systems...

moo

Backup slides

Why spheroidal form at LO?

What is special about this form at leading order? •

$$f_{\text{aniso}}^{LRF} = f_{\text{iso}} \left(\frac{\sqrt{\mathbf{p}^2 + \xi(\mathbf{x}, \tau) p_z^2}}{\Lambda(\mathbf{x}, \tau)} \right)$$

- Gives the ideal hydro limit when $\xi=0$ ($A \rightarrow T$) ٠
- For longitudinal (0+1d) free streaming, the LRF distribution function is of • spheroidal form; limit emerges automatically in conformal 0+1d aHydro

$$\xi_{\rm FS}(\tau) = (1 + \xi_0) \left(\frac{\tau}{\tau_0}\right)^2 - 1$$

- Since $f_{iso} \ge 0$, the one-particle distribution function and pressures are ≥ 0 • (not guaranteed in standard 2nd-order viscous hydro)
- Reduces to 2nd-order viscous hydrodynamics in limit of small anisotropies • M. Martinez and MS, 1007.0889

using generalized RS

$$= \frac{8}{45}\xi + \mathcal{O}(\xi^2)$$
 For general (3+1d) proof of equivalence to second-
order viscous hydrodynamics using generalized RS
form in the near-equilibrium limit see Tinti 1411.7268.